UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LABRADOR OMNIMEDIA, INC.	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C11-04994 CW
v. MIHIR PARIKH, and TASTEVIN SYSTEMS, INC, ET AL.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s)/	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ing ADR process:
Court Processes: □ Non-binding Arbitration (AD): □ Early Neutral Evaluation (EN) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their needs t	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: □ Private ADR (please identify private)	process and provider)
	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
□ other requested deadline	
Dated: 1/4/2012	/s/ Bruno W. Tarabichi Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/4/2012	/s/ Stuart C. Clark Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

P	oursuant to the Stipulation above, the caption	oned matter is hereby referred to:	
	Non-binding Arbitration		
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
ا ع	X Mediation		
	Private ADR		
	Deadline for ADR session		
2	90 days from the date of this order.		
	other		
IT IS SO	O ORDERED.		
Dated:_	1/5/2012	Chrolieleit	
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE

cc: ADR